April 26, 1988

Docket No. 50-320

DISTRIBUTION Docket File NRC & Local PDRs JCraig SVarga BRoger SNorris MMasnik

OGC-WF EJordan JPartlow ACRS (10) GPA/PA Gray File

Mr. F. R. Standerfer Vice President/Director Three Mile Island Unit 2 GPU Nuclear Corporation Post Office Box 480 Middletown, Pennsylvania 17057

Dear Mr. Standerfer:

SUBJECT: ENVIRONMENTAL ASSESSMENT AND FINDING OF NO SIGNIFICANT IMPACT -EXEMPTION FROM REQUIREMENTS OF 10 CFR PART 70.24, "CRITICALITY ACCIDENT REDUIREMENTS"

Enclosed is the Environmental Assessment which relates to your request dated February 19, 1988, for exemption from certain requirements of 10 CFR 70.24, for Three Mile Island Nuclear Station, Unit 2.

This assessment is being forwarded to the Office of the Federal Register for publication.

Sincerely,

original signed by

Michael T. Masnik, Senior Project Manager Project Directorate I-4 Division of Reactor Projects I/II Office of Nuclear Reactor Regulation

Enclosure: Environmental Assessment

cc w/enclosure: See next page

LA:PD1-4 PM:PD1-4 SMIORTIS MTMasnik 04/62/88 04/26/88 D:FRI-4 JFStolz 04/2:/8E

OGC / 47 04/2/88 No legal objection behjert to charges no martab.

8805030417 880426 PDR ADOCK 05000320 PDR PDR Mr. F. R. Standerfer GPU Nuclear Corporation Three Mile Island Nuclear Station Unit No. 2

William T. Russell Regional Administrator U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission 631 Park Avenue King of Prussia, PA 19406

Dr. Judith H. Johnsrud Environmental Coalition on Nuclear Power 433 Orlando Avenue State College, PA 16801

Ernest L. Blake, Jr., Esq. Shaw, Pittman, Potts, and Trowbridge 2300 N Street, N.W. Washington, DC 20037

Secretary U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission Washington, DC 20555

Sally S. Klein, Chairperson Dauphin County Board of Commissioners Dauphin County Courthouse Front and Market Streets Harrisburg, PA 17101

Thomas M. Gerusky, Director Bureau of Radiation Protection Department of Environmental Resources P. O. Box 2063 Harrisburg, PA 17120

Ad Crable Lancaster New Era 8 West King Street Lancaster, PA 17601

U.S. Department of Energy P. O. Box 89 Niddletown, PA 17057

David J. McGoff Office of LWR Safety and Technology NE-23 U.S. Department of Energy Washington, DC 20545 Frank Lynch, Editorial The Patriot 812 Market Street Harrisburg, PA 17105

Robert B. Borsum Babcock & Wilcox Nuclear Power Division Suite 225 1700 Rockville Pike Rockville, MD 20852

Michael Churchhill, Esq. PILCOP 1315 Walnut Street Suite 1632 Philadelphia, PA 19107

Marvin I. Lewis 7801 Roosevelt Blvd. #62 Philadelphia, PA 19152

Jane Lee 183 Valley Road Etters, PA 17319

Walter W. Cohen, Consumer Advocate Department of Justice Strawberry Square, 14th Floor Harrisburg, PA 17127

Mr. Edwin Kintner Executive Vice President General Public Utilities Nuclear Corporation 100 Interpace Parkway Parsippany, NJ 07054

U.S. Environmental Prot. Agency Region III Office Attn: EIS Coordinator Curtis Building (Sixth Floor) 6th and Walnut Streets Philadelphia, PA 19106

UNITED STATES NUCLEAR REGULATORY COMMISSION GPU NUCLEAR CORPORATION DOCKET NO. 50-320 ENVIRONMENTAL ASSESSMENT AND FINDING OF NO SIGNIFICANT IMPACT

The U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission (the Commission) is considering issuance of an exemption from the requirements of 10 CFR 70.24. Criticality accident requirements relative to Facility Operating License No. DPR-73. issued to GPU Nuclear Corporation (the licensee), for the Three Mile Island Nuclear Station Unit 2 (TMI-2), located in Londonderry Township, Dauphin County, Pennsylvania. By Order for Modification of License, dated July 20, 1979, the licensee's authority to operate the facility was suspended and the licensee's authority was limited to maintenance of the facility in the present shutdown cooling mode (44 FR 45271). By further Order of the Director, Office of Nuclear Reactor Regulation, dated February I1, 1980, a new set of formal license requirements was imposed to reflect the post-accident condition of the facility and to assure the continued maintenance of the current safe, stable, long-term cooling condition of the facility (45 FR 11292). The license provides, among other things, that it is subject to all rules, regulations and Orders of the Commission now or hereafter in effect.

8805030426 880426 PDR ADDCK 05000320 PDR PDR 7590-01

ENVIRONMENTAL ASSESSMENT

Identification of Froposed Action:

The action being considered by the Commission is exemption from 70.24 Criticality accident requirements relating to requirements for a monitoring system capable of detecting a criticality. Specifically, 10 CFP 70.24 requires licensees authorized to possess special nuclear material above a minimum quantity to maintain a redundant monitoring system that is capable of detecting a criticality in each area in which such licensed special nuclear material is handled, used or stored. The redundant monitoring systems, using gamma- or neutron sensitive radiation detectors, are required to energize clearly audible alarm signals if accidental criticality occurs. The regulations applicable to TMI-2 further define the sensitivity of the monitoring system and require the licensee to have emergency procedures for the protection of personnel. The Keed for the Proposed Action:

TMI-2 is currently in a post-accident, cold shutdown, long-term recovery mode. Exemption from 10 CFP 70.24 is requested after the conclusion of the licensee's current defueling program which will remove greater than SST of the original core material and preclude the possibility of an inadvertent criticality. Once defueling is completed the reactor building will be entered infrequently by personnel readying the building for long-term storage and for periodic monitoring. Remaining fuel in areas accessible to personnel will be contained in the primary system, with the majority of the material in the reactor vessel. Personnel entering the building will be required to possess radiation survey instruments. Although the amount of special nuclear material will exceed limits of 10 CFR 70.24, the material will be distributed in a number of locations in a configuration that would preclude a criticality.

- 2 -

Furthermore, the building will be unoccupied and personnel entries will occur on an infrequent basis. Fersonnel that do enter will possess appropriate monitoring equipment that would detect a criticality. Principal quantities of fuel are located in areas that are inaccessible to personnel and well shielded. Maintenance of the current intermediate and source range neutron flux monitors would prove an unnecessary burden and expense on the licensee with no concomitant benefit in terms of achieving the purpose of the requirement. Environmental Impact of the Proposed Action:

The staff has evaluated the proposed exemption and concludes that in light of the current and future condition of the facility described above, there are no significant radiological or nonradiological impacts to the environment as a result of this action. The proposed exemption removes the specific feature of the Commission's requirement to maintain criticality monitors in areas where special nuclear material above certain ancurts is hardled, used or stored. Alternatives to the Proposed Action:

Since the Commission has concluded that there is no significant environmental impact associated with the proposed exemption, any alternatives to this action will have either no significant environmental impact or greater environmental impact.

Agencies and Fersons Consulted:

The Commission's staff reviewed the licensee's request and did not consult other agencies or persons.

- 3 -

Alternative Use of Resources:

This action does not involve the use of resources not previously considered in connection with the Final Programmatic Environmental Impact Statement for TEI-2, dated March 1981.

FINDING OF NO SIGNIFICANT IMPACT

The Commission has determined not to prepare an environmental impact statement for the proposed exemption. Based upon the foregoing environmental assessment, we conclude that this action will not have a significant effect on the quality of the human environment.

For further details with respect to this action see the letter from GPUN, Technical Specification Change Request No. 53, dated April 23, 1987 and revised October 26, November 9 and December 4, 19P7. These documents are available for public inspection at the Commission's Public Document Room, 1717 H Street, N.W., Washington, D.C., and the State Library of Pennsylvania, Government Publications Section, Education Euilding, Commonwealth and Walnut Streets, Harrisburg, Pennsylvania 17126.

Dated at Reciville, Maryland, this 26 day of April 1988.

FOR THE NUCLEAR REGULATORY COMMISSION

Robert L. Ferguson, Acting Director Project Directorate I-4 Division of Reactor Projects 1/11

- 4 -